UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,105	09/26/2005	Jeffrey Allen Whitsett	CHM-003	4312
38155 HASSE & NES	7590 06/24/200 BITT LLC	EXAMINER		
	SQUARE DRIVE	ROMEO, DAVID S		
SUITE C CINCINNATI, OH 45249			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/551,105	WHITSETT, JEFFREY ALLEN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David S. Romeo	1647				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Se</u>	entember 2005					
· <u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
'=	/ 					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,7,8 and 30-41</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-3.7,8 and 30-41</u> are subject to restri	ction and/or election requirement					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	*	. ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1–3 and 30–33, drawn to a composition comprising FGF-18.

Group II, claim(s) 1 and 30–33, drawn to a composition comprising Shh.

Group III, claim(s) 1 and 30–33, drawn to a composition comprising β -catenin.

Group IV, claim(s) 1 and 30-33, drawn to a composition comprising a Wnt protein.

Group V, claim(s) 7, 8 and 34–41, drawn to a nucleic acid molecule encoding FGF-18.

Group VI, claim(s) 7, 34–37 and 39, drawn to a nucleic acid molecule encoding Shh.

Group VII, claim(s) 7, 34–37 and 39, drawn to a nucleic acid molecule encoding β-catenin.

Group VIII, claim(s) 7, 34–37 and 39, drawn to a nucleic acid molecule encoding a Wnt protein.

The inventions listed as Groups I–VIII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: FGF-18, Shh, beta-catenin and Wnt proteins, and nucleic acid molecules encoding same, are all known in the prior art. FGF-18 protein and nucleic acid are disclosed by Ohbayashi (J Biol Chem. 1998 Jul 17;273(29):18161-40. Shh protein and nucleic acid are disclosed by Marigo (Genomics. 1995 Jul 1;28(1):44-51). Wnt protein and nucleic acid are disclosed by Tanda (DNA Seq. 1995;5(5):277-81). β-catenin protein and nucleic acid are disclosed by Nollet (Genomics. 1996 Mar 15;32(3):413-24). Therefore groups I–VIII cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive concept. Therefore, the groups do not fulfill the requirements for unity of invention.

If group I or V is elected, this application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Shh, β-catenin and Wnt.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: Claims 3 and 41 correspond to the species.

The following claim(s) are generic: 3 and 41.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Shh, beta-catenin and Wnt proteins, and nucleic acid molecules encoding same, are all known in the prior art. Shh protein and nucleic acid are

Application/Control Number: 10/551,105 Page 4

Art Unit: 1647

disclosed by Marigo (Genomics. 1995 Jul 1;28(1):44-51). Wnt protein and nucleic acid are disclosed by Tanda (DNA Seq. 1995;5(5):277-81). β-catenin protein and nucleic acid are disclosed by Nollet (Genomics. 1996 Mar 15;32(3):413-24). Therefore the species cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive concept. Therefore, the species

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

do not fulfill the requirements for unity of invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

ANY INQUIRY CONCERNING THIS COMMUNICATION OR EARLIER COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE EXAMINER SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO DAVID S. ROMEO WHOSE TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (571) 272-0890. THE EXAMINER CAN NORMALLY BE REACHED ON MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY FROM 9:00 A.M. TO 5:30 P.M. IF ATTEMPTS TO REACH THE EXAMINER BY TELEPHONE ARE UNSUCCESSFUL, THE EXAMINER'S SUPERVISOR, MANJUNATH RAO, CAN BE REACHED AT (571)272-0939.

IF SUBMITTING OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE BY FAX, APPLICANTS ARE ENCOURAGED TO SUBMIT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE TO THE CENTRAL FAX NUMBER FOR OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE, WHICH IS (571) 273-8300.

CUSTOMERS ARE ALSO ADVISED TO USE CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE PROCEDURES WHEN SUBMITTING A REPLY TO A NON-FINAL OR FINAL OFFICE ACTION BY FACSIMILE (SEE 37 CFR 1.6 AND 1.8).

ANY INQUIRY OF A GENERAL NATURE OR RELATING TO THE STATUS OF THIS APPLICATION OR PROCEEDING MAY BE OBTAINED FROM THE PATENT APPLICATION INFORMATION RETRIEVAL (PAIR) SYSTEM. STATUS INFORMATION FOR PUBLISHED APPLICATIONS MAY BE OBTAINED FROM EITHER PRIVATE PAIR OR PUBLIC PAIR. STATUS INFORMATION FOR UNPUBLISHED APPLICATIONS IS AVAILABLE THROUGH PRIVATE PAIR ONLY. FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THE PAIR SYSTEM, SEE HTTP://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (Toll-Free) For QUESTIONS ON ACCESS TO THE PRIVATE PAIR SYSTEM.

/DAVID S ROMEO/ PRIMARY EXAMINER, ART UNIT 1647

DSR JUNE 22, 2008